

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/825,450	09/825,450 04/03/2001		William Wheeler	L0562/7015	1789	
23628	7590	03/24/2004		EXAMINER		
WOLF GRI	EENFIEI	LD & SACKS, P	MCCLELLAN, JAMES S			
FEDERAL F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, N			3627			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/825,450	WHEELER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	James S McClellan	3627	MW				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 <i>April 2001</i></u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	are: a) accepted or b) objecton to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7</u> .	-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT 	rO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/825,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/03/03 has been considered by the examiner. A signed copy of the PTO-1449 is attached.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, lien 10, "?" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

3. It is noted that Applicant submitted informal drawings on 7/23/01.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 6 improperly depends from itself. For purposes of compact prosecution, the Examiner assumed that claim 6 depends from claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Additionally, it is noted that claims 31 and 32 currently depend from claim 28. However, it appears that claims 31 and 32 should depend from claim 29. For purposes of compact prosecution, the Examiner will examine claims 31 and 32 as being dependent on claims 28 and

Art Unit: 3627

29. Once Applicant verifies the intended dependency of claims 31 and 32, the secondary rejection will be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 29-31 and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,278,936 (hereinafter "Jones").

Jones discloses a method and system for uses of a mail system to selectively track movements of items through the system (see column 6, lines 9-23) including: each user entering preferences as to selected conditions under which the user is receive electronic messages concerning an item (see column 8, lines 40-67); the system tracking movement of items through the system (see column 6, lines 9-23); and the system sending an e-mail status message to the user when one of the user preferences conditions is satisfied (see column 8, lines 56-58 and column 9, lines 47-49). Jones sends automatically sends a message when the item is delivered. The recipient can query the system related to items (see column 3, lines 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,450 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 32 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Official Notice.

Jones fails to explicitly disclose the sender queries regarding item status.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that is old and well known at the time the invention was made for senders (for example, retailers) to query package delivery couriers as the location and status of the packages they mailed to customers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jones with sender status updates as is well known in the art, because providing the sender with status update queries allows the sender to better serve their customers by actively participating in the delivery process.

9. Claims 1-10, 12-28, 33-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,156,988 (hereinafter "Baker") in view of Jones.

Baker discloses a method and system for managing movement of interoffice items: the system generating and storing a record for each item (see column 4, lines 57-61); a bar code tag for each item be utilized at selected points in transport (see column 1, line 45); the system checks for the most current address using a directory and forward the item to that location (see column

Application/Control Number: 09/825,450

Art Unit: 3627

4, lines 29-41); and mail codes from outside mail rooms are accessed (see column 3, lines 33-37).

Baker fails to explicitly disclose user queries related to item tracking and corresponding item status information related to user defined preferences.

As set forth above, Jones discloses user queries related to item tracking and corresponding item status information related to user defined preferences (see column 8, lines 40-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker with user tracking capabilities as taught by Jones, because allowing a user to track items will enhance the users knowledge of upcoming deliveries, wherein the user can better anticipate activities related to the delivered item.

10. Claims 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker in view of Jones as applied to claims 1, 9, 13, 33 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claim 11, the Examiner takes Official Notice that is old and well known to notify a mail room when an item is delivered (for example, item confirmation).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker/Jones with mail room notification as is well known in the art, because providing the mail room with delivery confirmation allows them to track the successfulness of their delivery.

If it is determined that Baker fails to disclose the limitations of claim 17, then the Examiner takes Official Notice that user defined forwarding address usage is old and well known.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,450 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker/Jones with mail forwarding as is well known in the art, because mail forwarding allows items to be delivered when customers have moved locations.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Tilles is cited of interest for disclosing a a modular mail processing method and system.

Frisbey is cited of interest for disclosing a postal automated delivery system.

Fisher is cited of interest for disclosing a method for supplying automatic status updates using electronic mail.

Byford is cited of interest for disclosing a parcel trace system.

Brisebois is cited of interest for disclosing a cross-media notification system for ecommerce.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/825,450

Art Unit: 3627

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm March 21, 2004